

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,891	02/07/2000	Mika Rinne	297-009169-US(PAR)	9436
7.	590 08/25/2003			
Clarence A Green Perman & Green 425 Post Road			EXAMINER	
			MOLINARI, MICHAEL J	
Fairfield, CT 06430	06430		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		%	2665	
		*	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Unice Action Summary					
Examinet Art one					
Michael J Molinari 2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-2, 6, 8-10 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 2. Stevens ("TCP/IP Illustrated, Volume 1").
- Referring to claim 1, Stevens discloses a method for transferring information over a data 3. connection according to a protocol stack where certain first protocol layers (Ethernet, which is a link layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23) and certain second protocol layers (IP, which is a network layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23) exist, comprising the steps of creating a protocol identifier (Type field, see page 22, lines 25-27), determining a value for said protocol identifier in accordance with the first protocol layers in said protocol stack (see Fig. 2.1, page 23, and note that the IP datagram has one value (0800), the ARP request/reply has another (0806), and the RARP request reply has yet a third (8035)), and signaling said protocol identifier to the second protocol layers in said protocol stack (see Fig. 2.1, page 23, and note that the IP datagram, which is passed on to the network layer, contains the type field that identifies it as an IP packet).
- Referring to claim 2, Stevens discloses the steps of establishing a data connection 4. between a first communications apparatus and second communications apparatus (see Fig. 4.4,

Art Unit: 2665

page 58, and note the connection between the source (bsdi, see page 58, line 23) and a destination (in this example, the destination address is ff:ff:ff:ff:ff; which is a broadcast address. However, looking at line 2 of Fig. 4 it can be seen that the message was received by 0:0:c0:c2:9b:26, which then replied). See also Figure 4.2 on page 55 which more clearly shows the connection between the two devices), determining a value for said protocol identifier in said first communications apparatus (see line 1 of Fig. 4 and note that the frame type field is "arp") and delivering said protocol identifier from the first communications apparatus to the second communications apparatus (Again, Fig. 4 shows that the frame was received by 0:0:c0:c2:9b:26, who then replied).

- 5. Referring to claim 6, Stevens discloses the step of delivering said protocol identifier over said data connection (see Section 4.2, pages 54-56, and see Fig. 4.2).
- 6. Referring to claim 8, Stevens discloses the step of delivering said protocol identifier in conjunction with the opening of said data connection (see page 22, lines 24-30 and note that every Ethernet frame contains the type field, including the frames that are used in establishing a data connection using TCP/IP).
- 7. Referring to claim 9, Stevens discloses the step of delivering said protocol identifier at a certain stage after the opening of said data connection (see page 22, lines 24-30 and note that every Ethernet frame contains the type field, so the protocol identifier is delivered at every state of the data connection).
- 8. Referring to claim 10, Stevens discloses the step of repeatedly delivering said protocol identifier at certain intervals (see page 22, lines 24-30 and note that every Ethernet frame

Application/Control Number: 09/498,891 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

contains the type field, so the protocol identifier is delivered with every packet, so that the interval between packets is the same as the "certain interval" at which the identifier is sent).

- 9. Referring to claim 14, Stevens discloses the step of placing said protocol identifier into a protocol frame of a certain protocol layer together with certain data to be transferred (see page 22, lines 17-44 and see Fig. 2.1 on page 23).
- 10. Referring to claim 15, Stevens discloses the step of placing said protocol identifier into a field within a protocol frame which field is reserved for the protocol identifier (see page 22, lines 17-44 and see Fig. 2.1 on page 23).
- Referring to claim 16, Stevens discloses the step of placing said protocol identifier into a field within a protocol frame of a certain logical link control protocol (see page 22, lines 17-44 and see Fig. 2.1 on page 23. The "certain logical link control protocol" is Ethernet).
- 12. Referring to claim 17, Stevens discloses the step of determining a value for said protocol identifier in accordance with the contents of the data transferred over said data connection (see Fig. 2.1 on page 23 and note that the type of data contained in the Ethernet frame determines the contents of the protocol identifier field 0800 for an IP datagram, 0806 for an ARP request/reply, or 8035 for a RARP request/reply).
- Referring to claim 18, Stevens discloses a communications apparatus arranged to transfer information to another communications apparatus in accordance with a protocol stack comprising certain first protocol layers (Ethernet, which is a physical/link layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23) and certain second protocol layers (TCP/IP, which is a network/transport layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23), comprising means for creating a protocol identifier (Type field, see page 22, lines 25-27), means for determining the value of said

Art Unit: 2665

protocol identifier in accordance with the first protocol layers of said protocol stack (see Fig. 2.1, page 23, and note that the IP datagram has one value (0800), the ARP request/reply has another (0806), and the RARP request reply has yet a third (8035)), and means for signaling said protocol identifier to the second protocol layers of said protocol stack in either said communications apparatus itself or in said other communications apparatus (see Fig. 2.1, page 23, and note that the IP datagram, which is passed on to the network layer, contains the type field that identifies it as an IP packet).

- 14. Referring to claim 19. Stevens discloses a communications apparatus arranged to transfer information from another communications apparatus in accordance with a protocol stack comprising first (Ethernet, which is a physical/link layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23) and second protocol layers (TCP/IP, which is a network/transport layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23), comprising means for signaling to (see Section 4.2, pages 54-56) said second protocol layers a protocol identifier the value of which is determined in accordance with the first protocol layers of said protocol stack (see Fig. 2.1, page 23, and note that the IP datagram has one value (0800), the ARP request/reply has another (0806), and the RARP request reply has yet a third (8035)).
- 15. Referring to claim 20, Stevens discloses a data communications system comprising a first communications apparatus and second communications apparatus (see Fig. 4.2, page 55, which shows 3 communication apparatuses, including one above and two below), means for transferring information between said first and second communications apparatuses in accordance with a protocol stack comprising certain first protocol layers (Ethernet, which is a physical/link layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23) and certain second protocol layers

Art Unit: 2665

(TCP/IP, which is a network/transport layer protocol, see Section 2.2, pages 21-23), at least in the first communications apparatus means for creating a protocol identifier (Type field, see page 22, lines 25-27), at least in the first communications apparatus means for determining the value of said protocol identifier in accordance with the first protocol layers of said protocol stack (see Fig. 2.1, page 23, and note that the IP datagram has one value (0800), the ARP request/reply has another (0806), and the RARP request reply has yet a third (8035)), and at least in the first communications apparatus means for signaling said protocol identifier to the second protocol layers of said protocol stack (see Fig. 4.2, apge 55).

- Claims 1, 7 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amri 16. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,535,199).
- Referring to claim 1, Amri et al. disclose a method for transferring information over a 17. data connection according to a protocol stack (see Fig. 4) where certain first protocol layers (TCP/IP) and certain second protocol layers (X.25) exist, comprising the steps of creating a protocol identifier (see column 7, lines 57-61), determining a value for said protocol identifier in accordance with the first protocol layers in said protocol stack (see column 7, lines 63-66) and delivering said protocol identifier to the second protocol layers in said protocol stack (see Figures 6A and 6B).
- Referring to claim 7, Amri et al. disclose the step of delivering said protocol identifier 18. over a control connection which is different than said data connection (see column 4, lines 57-60).
- Referring to claim 11, Amri et al. disclose the steps of determining and delivering said 19. protocol identifier more than once during said data connection (see column 7, lines 65-67 and

Application/Control Number: 09/498,891 Page 7

Art Unit: 2665

column 8, lines 1-2), determining said protocol identifier at each time on the basis of a certain part of the first protocol layers (see column 7, lines 65-67 and column 8, lines 1-2 and note that the determination depends on whether compressed or uncompressed TCP/IP will be used), and choosing said part of the first protocol layers such that the chosen part is not identical at all instances of determination (see column 7, lines 65-67 and column 8, lines 1-5 and note that the compression scheme may or may not be agreed to).

- 20. Referring to claim 12, Amri et al. disclose the steps of adapting said protocol identifier so as to comprise elements (each identifier is made up of 2 bytes, which are elements, see column 7, lines 65-66) and determining each element of said protocol identifier on the basis of a certain part of the first protocol layers (see column 7, lines 65-67 and column 8, lines 1-2 and note that the bytes are different depending on whether compressed or uncompressed TCP/IP will be used).
- 21. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gleeson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,446,736).
- Referring to claim 20, Gleeson discloses a data communications system comprising a first communications apparatus (STATION 1, see Fig. 4) and second communications apparatus (STATION 2, see Fig. 4), means for transferring information between said first and second communications apparatuses (see Fig. 4, #416) in accordance with a protocol stack (see Fig. 4 and note that each STATION comprises a protocol stack) comprising certain first protocol layers (Optimization Layer, see Fig. 9, #912) and certain second protocol layers (Wireless Network Access Protocol, see Fig. 9, #916), at least in the first communications apparatus means for creating a protocol identifier (Compression ID, see column 14, lines 34-38), at least in the first communications apparatus means for determining the value of said protocol identifier in

Art Unit: 2665

accordance with the first protocol layers of said protocol stack (see column 14, lines 34-38), and at least in the first communications apparatus means for delivering said protocol identifier to the second protocol layers of said protocol stack (see column 14, lines 43-45).

- 23. Referring to claim 21, Gleeson discloses that the first communications apparatus is a wireless terminal (Mobile Client Node, see column 15, lines 17-19) in a radio access network, that said means for transferring information is arranged to deliver said protocol identifier to the second communications apparatus (see column 15, lines 17-19), and that the second communications apparatus is a network element in said radio access network (Server Node, see column 15, lines 17-19).
- 24. Referring to claim 22, Gleeson discloses that said means for transferring information is arranged to deliver said protocol identifier across a radio interface of a mobile network in a call control connection (see column 14, lines 4-7 and column 15, lines 17-19. Gleeson teaches including the Compression ID field in all PDUs, which would include management as well as regular data PDUs).
- 25. Referring to claim 23, Gleeson discloses that the first communications apparatus is a network element in a radio access network (Server Node, see column 14, lines 43-45), that said means for transferring information is arranged to deliver said protocol identifier to the second communications apparatus (see column 14, lines 43-45), and the second communications apparatus is a wireless terminal in said radio access network (Mobile Client Node, see column 14, lines 43-45).
- 26. Referring to claim 24, Gleeson discloses that said means for transferring information is arranged to deliver said protocol identifier across a radio interface of a mobile network in a call

Art Unit: 2665

control connection (see column 14, lines 4-7 and lines 43-45. Gleeson teaches including the Compression ID field in all PDUs, which would include management as well as regular data PDUs).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 27. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 28. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens ("TCP/IP Illustrated, Volume 1").
- 29. Referring to claim 3, Stevens discloses establishing a data connection between a first communications apparatus and a second communications apparatus (see Fig. 4.4, page 58, and note the connection between the source (bsdi, see page 58, line 23) and a destination (in this example, the destination address is ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff; which is a broadcast address. However, looking at line 2 of Fig. 4 it can be seen that the message was received by 0:0:c0:c2:9b:26, which then replied). See also Figure 4.2 on page 55 which more clearly shows the connection between the two devices) and determining a value for said protocol identifier in said first communications apparatus (see line 1 of Fig. 4 and note that the frame type field is "arp"). Stevens differs from claim 3 in that he fails to disclose the use of a third communications apparatus. However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is common in Ethernet networks to use a hub to connect a plurality of network devices that communicate to each other using the Ethernet protocol. The

Art Unit: 2665

use of a hub has the advantage of simplifying the wiring in an Ethernet network. One skilled in the art would have recognized the advantage of using a hub in an Ethernet network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the use of a hub in the Ethernet network of Stevens to achieve the advantage of simplifying the wiring plan in the Ethernet network. Because all signals that are sent and received by a device are transmitted via the hub, the use of a hub as a third communications device would necessarily include delivering the protocol identifier from the first (sending) apparatus to the third communications apparatus (the hub).

Page 10

30. Referring to claim 4, Stevens discloses the steps of establishing a data connection between a first communications apparatus and a second communications apparatus (see Fig. 4.4, page 58, and note the connection between the source (bsdi, see page 58, line 23) and a destination (in this example, the destination address is ff:ff:ff:ff:ff; which is a broadcast address. However, looking at line 2 of Fig. 4 it can be seen that the message was received by 0:0:c0:c2:9b:26, which then replied). See also Figure 4.2 on page 55 which more clearly shows the connection between the two devices) and determining a value for said protocol identifier (see Fig. 4.4, line 2 and note that the frame type field is "arp"). Stevens differs from claim 4 in that he fails to disclose a third communications apparatus, through which the first two communications apparatuses communicate. However, the Examiner takes official notice that the use of routers to enable two nodes in a network to communicate is well known in the art. The use of routers has the advantage of intelligently routing IP traffic through a complex network. One skilled in the art would have recognized the advantage of using routers in a network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2665

invention to incorporate the use of routers into a network to achieve the advantage of intelligently routing IP traffic through a complex network. Because routers that use Ethernet interfaces must de-encapsulate and re-encapsulate the IP data contained in Ethernet frames, the router would necessarily need to determine the value for the frame type field of the Ethernet frame in the arp reply taught by Stevens, thus meeting the limitations that the protocol identifier is determined in said third apparatus and that the protocol identifier is delivered from said third apparatus to said first apparatus.

Page 11

31. Referring to claim 5, as explained in claim 4 above, the Examiner takes official notice that the use of routers in networks is well known in the art. Furthermore, routed networks where packets must traverse multiple routers between sending and receiving nodes are also well known in the art. At each router, the IP data must be de-encapsulated, routed, and re-encapsulated before being transmitted out the appropriate interface to the next node. It is during the reencapsulation process that the protocol identifier (type field in the Ethernet frame) is determined. This field is delivered from each node to each successive node, in both directions. Therefore, such a network would necessarily require that the third and fourth apparatuses would determine protocol identifiers and deliver them to each other throughout the communication described by Stevens on pages 54-58.

Allowable Subject Matter

32. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2665

Response to Arguments

Page 12

33. Applicant's arguments filed 4 August 2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of

applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the value

of an identifier that is inserted by one layer is available to a different layer, even in a different

device) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the

specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van

Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

35. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2665

9/498,891 Page 13

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Molinari whose telephone number is (703) 305-5742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

 $M)_{M}$

Michael Joseph Molinari

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600